INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE RESEARCH, IDEAS AND INNOVATIONS IN TECHNOLOGY

ISSN: 2454-132X
Impact factor: 4.295
(Volume 4, Issue 5)
Available online at: www.ijariit.com

A review of signal parameter estimation techniques

Nagesh Kumar Sahu
raku.mandal80@gmail.com
Bhabha College of Education, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh

Varsha Mehar
atulmandal93@gmail.com
Bhabha College of Education, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh

ABSTRACT

Signal Investigation, the signals to be detected usually include unidentified parameters such as amplitude, time delay, phase, and frequency; these parameters must be probable previous to the signal discovery. The techniques used to approximation these signal parameters can be broadly confidential into two main categories known as parametric and non-parametric methods. This paper presents a review of these signal parameter estimation techniques.

Keywords— *Parametric and Non-Parametric methods, Signal parameter estimation*

1. INTRODUCTION

Signal stricture opinion, and hence uncovering, problems are worried about the examination of received signals to conclude the deficiency or incidence of a signal of concern; the removal of in the order in these signals as well as the signal categorization [1]. These are problems of meaning in applications such as seismic examination, speech appreciation, cellular mobile message, biomedical manufacturing, radar, and sonar signal dispensation. The signals to be detected often contain unidentified parameters, such as amplitude, time delay, phase, and frequency; these parameters must be estimated before any signal detection. To estimate these parameters, a figure of methods can be useful. Generally, signal parameter judgment techniques can be classified into two main categories; namely parametric and non-parametric approaches [2-4]. An appraisal of several of these signal parameter estimation methods is presented in this paper.

2. PARAMETRIC AND NON-PARAMETRIC ESTIMATION TECHNIQUE

In this paper, Non-parametric techniques are Fourier-based methods of providing ghostly estimates anywhere no previous replica is unspecified, in the intellect that no assumption is complete about the bodily procedure that generates a given data. They are also recognized as the traditional methods of ghostly opinion. Although this advance of signal stricture opinion is computationally competent, it though has unfinished promptness declaration. These methods also suffer from ghostly leakage belongings that frequently mask weak signals. Prominent conclusions from these non-parametric techniques are that there is forever a concession in the bias-variance trade-off because both of these errors cannot be minimized concurrently [2-5].

The parametric-based method can although be old to take out a high-resolution estimate, particularly in an application where small data minutes are obtainable due to the temporary phenomenon, provide the signal arrangement is known. These techniques are also recognized as a model-based method of ghostly opinion, where a generated model with documented useful form is unspecified. The parameter in the unspecified model is then predictable, and a signal's ghostly individuality of notice resulting from the predictable model. Therefore, the predictable spectral individuality is only as good as the fundamental model. example of these parametric-based technique comprises the autoregressive (AR) process model (comprise the Yule-Walker [4, 6] and least squares method [4]), the moving average (MA) course model, as well as the joint autoregressive moving average (ARMA) process model [2-4]. The autoregressive process model, such as the Prony algorithm, is the simplest of the parametric-based techniques. The Prony algorithm, which models sample data as a linear mixture of exponentials, is a method that can be used to identifying the frequencies, amplitudes, and phases of a signal. Even though the Prony algorithm has the ability to resolve rays much closer than the Fourier-based limit, it, however, has a tendency to yield biased estimates.

A further instance, of the parametric-based method, is the space-alternating general expectation-maximization (SAGE) algorithm [7]. The SAGE algorithm is a low-complexity generalization of the expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm [8]. The EM algorithm is an iterative process used to calculate an utmost likelihood approximation when an observed data is regarded as unfinished [9]. The SAGE algorithm breaks down a multi-dimensional optimization procedure, essential to calculate the estimates of the parameter of a gesture, into more than a few separate, low-dimensional maximization events, which are performed in sequence; thus reducing the computational cost. In addition, this algorithm overpowers the decree restraint intrinsic in the Fourier-based method. Though, the SAGE algorithm depends on the supposition that a limited recognized number of waves characterize by their spread delay, complex amplitude, and azimuthally incidence way is impinge in the neighborhood of a receiver. Underestimate the number of impinge waves can result in poor resolution, while over-estimation can give rise to spurious components in the parameter estimates.

One more class of these parametric-based opinion methods is the subspace-based method. This method also recognized as super-resolution or high-resolution techniques make incidence constituent estimate of a known signal based on the decay of a surveillance vector room into two subspaces; one connected with the indication and single more linked with the noise [2, 9]. Each sound vector is not mentioned to be uncorrelated with the signal vectors and among other noise vectors. Then the function matching to the vectors in the signal or racket subspaces can be used to create incidence estimators which, when the plot, indicate a pointed peak at the frequency location of interest. Pisarenko vocal decay (Ph.D.) algorithm [2-4] was the first of these methods, which as a result spur many better methods such as the multiple signal categorization (MUSIC) algorithms [10]. The MUSIC algorithm was at first used for azimuth opinion. The algorithm was afterward practical to time delay opinion. The MUSIC algorithm gives a better declaration than the autoregressive or Pony methods. Though MUSIC was the first of the high-resolution algorithms to precisely exploit the fundamental data model of signals that are buried in noise, this algorithm, on the other hand, has several boundaries. For example, an absolute knowledge of the array manifold is needed, and the search over limitation space is computationally restricted. A polynomial-rooting version of the MUSIC technique, known as "root-MUSIC," is known to have similar asymptotic properties as the square MUSIC algorithm. Moreover, this root-MUSIC technique is plagued by bogus roots which cause troubles in identifying the definite roots equivalent to the accurate signals.

Another example of this subspace-based method includes the negligible amount norm method [11] and judgment of signal parameters by turning invariance technique (ESPRIT) method [12]. The ESPRIT is an additional room of the MUSIC algorithm. ESPRIT uses two or supplementary arrays that bear a paraphrase invariance affiliation with respect to each other and then exploits the original rotational invariance among the signal subspaces to solve a generalized eigenvalue equation. This algorithm has two variants; the original ESPRIT, and a total least squares (TLS) description of the original technique. These two variants of ESPRIT are known to give similar asymptotic estimation accuracy. However, the TLS version has a lower bias in the frequency estimate. ESPRIT exhibit significantly low computational difficulty over the MUSIC algorithm and produces estimates that are asymptotically impartial.

A summary of the advantages and disadvantages of these signal parameter estimation methods is presented in table 1.

Table 1: Advantages and disadvantages

Method	Advantages	Disadvantages
Yule-Walker Algorithm [2,3,14]	• Computationally competent. • Produces healthier motion than Fourier-based method.	• The model order needs to be individual in advance of the destroy inquiries • Performs relatively poorly for short data records.
Least Squares Method [2-4, 14].	• Has finer concert than the Yule-Walker algorithm. • Yield statistically stable shadowlike calculate approximately	• The model order needs to be individual in advance of the analysis. • The resolution on behalf of signals with low signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) is comparable to that obtained from • Fourier-based method.
Pisarenko Harmonic Decomposition [2-4, 9, 15].	• Computationally efficient.	• The running is poor at low SNRs. • The model order needs to be specified in advance of the analysis.

3. CONCLUSION

In this paper review of the signal parameter, opinion method has been accessible. It was exposed that while the conventional (or Fourier-based) method of the signal, parameter judgment are computationally proficient, they thought have limited frequency resolution. Moreover, parametric (or model-based) signal stricture estimation technique can be useful in extract high- ruling estimates. Examples of these model-based limitation inference methods, review in this paper, take account of the autoregressive process model, the space-alternating widespread expectation-maximization algorithm, and subspace-based technique.

4. REFERENCES

[1] B. C. Levy, Principles of Signal Detection and Parameter Estimation. Boston, MA: Springer, 2008.

[2] S. L. Marple, Digital Spectral Analysis with Applications. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1987, ch. 5-6.

[3] S. M. Kay, Modern Spectral Estimation: Theory and Application. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1988, ch. 4-5.

[4] P. Stoica and R. Moses, Introduction to Spectral Analysis. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1997, ch. 2-4.

[5] H. Krim and M. Viberg, "Two decades of array signal processing research: the parametric approach," IEEE Signal Processing Magazine, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 67-94, 1996.

[6] G. Walker, "On Periodicity in Series of Related Terms," Proc. of the Royal Society of London, vol. 131, no. 818, pp. 518-532, 1931.

[7] B. H. Fleury, M. Tschudin, R. Heddergott, D. Dahlhaus, and K. L. Pedersen, "Channel parameter estimation in mobile radio environments using the SAGE algorithm," IEEE Journal on selected areas in communications, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 434-450, 1999.

[8] M. Feder and E. Weinstein, "Parameter Estimation of Superimposed Signals Using the EM Algorithm," IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 477-489, 1988.

[9] C. W. Therrien, Discrete Random Signals and Statistical Signal Processing. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc., 1992.

[10] R. O. Schmidt, "Multiple Emitter Location and Signal Parameter Estimation," IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 276-280, 1986.

[11] R. Kumaresan and D. W. Tufts, "Estimating the Angles of Arrival of Multiple Plane Waves," IEEE Trans. on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 134-139, 1983.

[12] R. Roy and T. Kailath, "ESPRIT-Estimation of Signal Parameters via Rotational Invariance Techniques," IEEE Trans. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, vol. 37, no. 7, pp. 984-995, 1989.

[13] O. A. Aboaba, "High-resolution multipath channel parameter estimation using wavelet analysis," Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. Elect. and Comp. Eng., Curtin Univ., Perth, WA, 2014.

[14] S. M. Kay and S. L. Marple, “Spectrum Analysis - A Modern Perspective,” Proc. of the IEEE, vol. 69, no. 11, pp. 1380-1419, 1981.

[15] P. Stoica and A. Nehorai, “Study of the statistical performance of the Pisarenko harmonic decomposition method,” IEE Proceedings F, vol. 135, no. 2, pp. 161-168, 1988.

[16] R. Kumaresan and D. W. Tufts, “Improved Spectral Resolution III: Efficient Realization,” Proc. of the IEEE, vol. 68, no. 10, pp. 1354- 1355, 1980.

[17] R. Kumaresan and D. W. Tufts, “Estimating the Angles of Arrival of Multiple Plane Waves,” IEEE Trans. on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 134-139, 1983.

[18] M. Pourkhaatoun and S. A. Zekavat, “High-resolution independent component analysis based time-of-arrival estimation for line-of-sight multipath environments,” IET Communications, vol. 5, no. 10, pp. 1440-1452, 2011.

[19] K. V. Rangarao and S. Venkatanarasimhan, “gold-MUSIC: A Variation on MUSIC to Accurately Determine Peaks of the Spectrum,” IEEE Trans. on Antennas and Propagation, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 2263-2268, 2013.

