



Challenges Faced by Micro and Small Firms and Disparities of Firm Growth in India

Aabir Chatterjee

mypublishedpaper@gmail.com

Heritage Xperiential Learning School, Gurugram, Haryana

ABSTRACT

Microenterprises form the backbone of rural India's economic environment, but their access to formal financial sources remains limited due to inadequate documentation, low financial literacy, and credit risks. In the absence of formal sources of credit, these enterprises are compelled to turn to informal credit sources such as moneylenders, local traders, and friends and family to meet their financial needs. This research paper explores the various challenges microenterprises face in acquiring required credit, including high interest rates and lack of security. An important factor is the problem of rent-seeking behavior expressed by various sources of credit, from individuals to local authorities, which increases borrowing costs and increases inequities. Using firsthand experiences, case studies, and data analysis, this study identifies patterns of firm growth and unequal growth that display credit access in rural informal markets. The findings highlight the urgent need for government interventions and the expansion of accessible and affordable financial services specific to the unique challenges faced by rural microenterprises.

Keywords: *Micro and Small Enterprises, Challenges Faced by Small Firms, Rural and Urban Divide, State-Wise Distribution, Solutions to Restricted Firm Growth, Rent Seeking.*

INTRODUCTION

Microenterprises are the building blocks of India's economy, especially in the rural sector. Micro and small scale enterprises fuel the livelihood of millions of Indians and are significant contributors to local development. Support and sponsorship of such industries is little in comparison to their role in the economy. Their access to formal credit sources such as banks and lending institutions are limited due to various factors: formal institutions offer strict collateral policies, lengthy and tedious processing times and their remoteness to especially rural enterprises. (Honorati and Mengistae 8)

On the other hand, using informal sources of credit to acquire loans is also sometimes as big a hassle as using formal sources. Informal sources of credit operate in an environment where the borrower is not in a position to argue the terms and conditions of repayment and the overall process of lending credit. Due to this, microenterprises often face exploitation by such informal lenders and encounter rent seeking behaviour: where lenders tend to earn excess profit, taking advantage of their exclusive access to credit and reduced collateral/documentation requirements. (Honorati and Mengistae 3)

In rural financial markets, rent-seeking can take many various forms: from bribing local government officials to gain access to subsidized programs, to paying commissions to middlemen who serve as gatekeepers to lenders (Honorati and Mengistae 7). To obtain more returns at the expense of the borrower, informal lenders generally take advantage of informational and legal imperfections. Rural business owners are more financially vulnerable because these unregulated informal financial systems usually lack formal institutions of appeal in the event of a disagreement or harassment (Coad and Tamvada 386).

To understand the informal rural credit system, a multi-stakeholder setting is necessary. Politicians, middlemen, self-help group leaders, cooperative agents, and rural moneylenders frequently play key roles in controlling access to capital. This paper aims to investigate these dynamics and how they affect equity and credit availability. Dealing with the concepts of rent-seeking behavior, informal credit dependence, and financial exclusion, the study explores rural financial inclusion tactics that go beyond current institutional setups.

This paper explores the themes of the challenges faced by small and microenterprises in India in accessing formal credit and navigating through informal sources of credit. It explores how invisible barriers to formal credit increase reliance on informal sources, explains the role of rent seeking behaviour in such environments, and emphasizes the roles of various stakeholders in play. Through these dynamics, this research paper aims to identify flaws in existing policies and present methods to create more inclusive, equitable and sustainable formal credit environments for small and microenterprises.

RURAL URBAN DIVIDE

The evolution of India's micro and small enterprises is accredited to gradual change in policies, innovation and adaptation to the constantly changing environment.

The small firms industry has evolved and emerged with a stark contrast between rural and urban India. While rural and urban MSEs are both significant players in local economic activity and employment generation, the contrast between the two in terms of access to markets, finance, technology, and infrastructure continues to grow in disparity.

In Urban India, micro and small firms have the benefit of being close to markets, better access to infrastructure, and reputable financial institutions. They are typically found in business/industrial zones of the city where they have better access to technological support, skilled labor, and supply chains. On the other hand, rural small enterprises often operate in isolation, are informally managed, and struggle to access reliable infrastructure such as electricity, transportation, and internet connectivity which are essential for competition and firm outreach. Although micro and small Indian firms are essential for economic development, especially in the protection and provision of rural and urban livelihood, rural firms are subject to various institutional barriers that do not favor their development. Rural firms face more constraints in the availability of raw materials, machinery, scarcity of electricity, and management skills compared to urban firms. Urban firms, on the other hand, face more constraints in the availability of labor and market saturation (Coad and Tamvada 386).

One of the most significant barriers to small rural firm growth is a lack of access to formal credit. Over 78% of Indian micro and small enterprises rely on informal sources such as personal savings or cash provided by friends and family. Rural entrepreneurs in particular are challenged by strict collateral requirements and a lack of financial literacy, both of which make it difficult for them to avail credit from banks and other formal sources. Even in urban centers, formal banking institutions are being underutilized, which suggests the problem is likely to be worse in rural areas where financial accessibility is lower (Singh and Wasdani). Moreover, policy mechanisms often adopt a very generalized approach, underestimating the challenges of rural entrepreneurs. While schemes such as the Priority Sector Lending Initiative and the Credit Guarantee Fund for micro and small enterprises have been designed to promote equitable access to finance, their actual accessibility and use remain largely in favor of urban enterprises due to more awareness and ease of administration in cities (Singh and Wasdani 19).

STATE WISE DISTRIBUTION

The growth of micro and small enterprises in India has not been uniform throughout the country, with increasing disparities arising due to unequal distribution of infrastructure, supply chains, institutions and credit services. Based on an item in the instruments of the 2002 and 2005 waves of FACS survey that asked enterprise managers to rate problems in some 20 different elements of their business environment as constraints to their growth and operations, 16 states covered by the FACS survey have been classified into a smaller set of categories based on industrial development and growth. Empirical evidence from the FACS reveals that high-income and high-growth states like Gujarat, Maharashtra, Tamil Nadu, and Delhi are consistently outperforming others on important growth factors like average sales per worker, gross fixed investment, and total factor productivity. These states possess a stronger industrial sector, better logistics infrastructure, and relatively less complex business regulation, all of which benefit higher firm performance (Honorati and Mengistae 5). Labor regulation is regarded as a significant growth constraint by a sizable proportion of businesses. Although this proportion decreased between the two waves of the survey for the full sample, the decline is confined to high growth states. Unlike labor regulation, power shortages do not seem to have weakened as an obstacle to growth between the two waves if we measure the strength of an obstacle by the proportion of those who report it as such. But, again this conceals the diversity of the situation across states (Honorati and Mengistae 6).

In contrast, low income and low growth states like Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, and Odisha show very low rates of firm growth. These regions face a very persistent set of structural issues such as power shortages and limited access to financial institutions. Over 60 per cent of businesses in these states depend on private generators, which raises operational costs and disrupts production cycles. Financial aid is largely acquired from informal sources as the availability of formal credit is limited, particularly among micro and small enterprises (Honorati and Mengistae 8).

In addition, the impact of financial constraints varies significantly across states. In high growth states, labor regulation is perceived as a more serious constraint, in part due to stronger implementation of labor laws and stricter enforcement. Despite this disadvantage, firms in these states are more resilient and adaptable, usually supported with a more efficient legal and institutional framework. On the other hand, firms in low growth states are more likely to face financial and infrastructure challenges including high collateral demands and irregular supply of power.

Geographical and globalization policies have further deepened the divide between states. Coastal and urbanized states have capitalized more effectively on liberalization policies after 1991. Such states like Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu, benefit from port access, higher urbanization rates, and foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows, all of which strongly promote firm growth. In contrast, more inland states with weak urban infrastructure and limited trade access continue to fall behind despite reforms (Sachs et al. 12).

SOLUTIONS TO RESTRICTED MICRO AND SMALL FIRM GROWTH IN INDIA

The various stakeholders responsible for the development of the MSSSI sector have to work together for efficient advancement of their current condition. Some potential solutions and ideas for each group based on feedback from small business owners and researching the condition of MSSSIs are provided.

Government: The government needs to better spread financial awareness among these small and informal units along with regular information about MSSSI schemes which need to be spread through advertisements, newspapers, magazines and television channels. Government institutions also need to reduce the strictness and amount of documentation required for registration and lending credit. Small businesses will receive a relief if complex documentation requirements for seeking financial assistance are replaced with simpler procedures like a form that records basic information of the owner or enterprise that can be utilized by all financial institutions (Singh and Wasdani 19).

The government should look into reducing legal compliance fees, at least in the first 3 years of a small firm's age. Proper implementation of tax policies needs to be undertaken so that transactions by the firm are transparent and would provide a better idea of the history of the enterprise, enhancing the process of lending credit.

Financial Institutions: Formal institutions need to realize the importance of identifying loan takers as business partners, as they can only benefit if the receiver does well. Thus, they should form long term relationships with.

Financial institutions can invest in training for loan officers so that they can communicate their institution's policies effectively to MSSIs, relax the strict collateral requirements and explore alternatives to requesting collateral, especially from enterprises in the early stages of their life cycle.

Financial institutions should increase the threshold for policies, so that a wide array of MSSIs benefit. This would result in an overall increase in health of this sector. Ensure proper action against defaulters and against those who make delayed payments to MSMEs. A delay in payment affects cash flow to the MSME and also negatively impacts its ability to process other orders. There is also a need to determine repayment periods carefully based on the nature of the sector in which the MSSI operates, especially in sectors with high seasonality of production.

Expansion of financial schemes for MSSIs is essential to facilitate their growth. Additionally, keeping a corpus of funds that can be provided to the MSSIs in need of a sudden capital crisis can avert short term losses.

Entrepreneurs: Entrepreneurs should create prototypes and obtain patents for their products/innovations. Only after completing the prototype, should they approach the enterprise's accelerator or venture capitalists for financial assistance.

Entrepreneurs should participate actively in trade fairs and industry events for both showcasing their products or services and for enhancing their financial awareness.

Entrepreneurs should employ proper accounting practices, as it can increase chances of receiving credit, by giving lenders an open view of the business that enables them to determine the viability and profitability of the enterprise. In addition, they must register their enterprise and renew all registrations and licensing that are necessary.

CONCLUSION

Micro and small enterprises in India, particularly in rural areas, are a crucial part of the country's economic and social structure. However, the barriers they face ranging from the lack of access to formal credit and infrastructure, to bureaucratic issues such as rent-seeking behavior or neglect of policy thinking will continue to limit their growth in the future. This study has demonstrated how the rural-urban split: certain states grow faster than others, and access to credit through informal means are structural inequities and not independent choices.

Though there are government programs and financial reforms, they are not effective enough and do not reach all areas of the MSSI sector. To reduce these gaps, we require more than on record policy modifications. A genuine effort from policymakers, financial services providers, and entrepreneurs will be necessary so that an ecosystem for inclusive and sustainable growth of micro and small businesses can be created. If documentation was simplified, education and financial literacy were improved, reliance on collateral was reduced, and exploitative practices were reduced, then micro and small businesses could drive India's economy and improve the lives of many.

An important lesson from this study is the need for localizing solutions. Policymakers tend to ignore the vast differences in the challenges among entrepreneurs at state and district levels, in terms of geographical differences between states, districts, or even villages. This study brings out the need for a deeper involvement with local institutions, self-help groups and cooperative societies in policy design and execution. By differentiating financial assistance, infrastructural investments and regulations on the needs of the region can encourage more equitable growth and decrease disparity between states.

Ultimately, MSSIs should not only be viewed as small units and loan risks, but instead perceived as crucial and innovative enterprises driving development at the grassroot level. A cultural change within banking systems, government, and society in general is required to recognize small entrepreneurs to be a central part of the economic development of India. With adequate support and education, MSSIs can go from just merely surviving to prospering, and more importantly they can help transform the Indian economy towards building a more equitable and inclusive economy.

REFERENCE

- [1] Coad, Alex, and Jaganaddha Pawan Tamvada. "Firm growth and barriers to growth among small firms in India." *Small Business Economics*, vol. 39, no. 2, 2012, pp. 383-400. *JSTOR*, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41682804>. Accessed 7 May 2025.
- [2] Honorati, Maddalena, and Taye Mengistae. "Corruption, the Business Environment, and Small Business Growth in India." Working paper. *Policy Research Working Paper*, August 2007. *Google Scholar*, The World Bank Development Research Group Macroeconomics and Growth Team August 2007, https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=axGTJ81YqK4C&oi=fnd&pg=PA1&dq=+Corruption,+the+Business+Environment,+and++Small+Business+Growth+in+India&ots=7BQdOiazxS&sig=vfs696IH5_V3Mln9lw9c-KMGQdw. Accessed 17 June 2025. Online PDF.
- [3] Sachs, Jeffrey D., et al. *Understanding Regional Economic Growth in India*. This paper was prepared for the Asian Economic Panel meeting held in Seoul on October 25-26, 2001 and presented to the Prime Minister of India on December 25, 2001 in New Delhi. Center for International Development at Harvard University, March 2002, <https://direct.mit.edu/asep/article-abstract/1/3/32/17334>. Accessed 28 June 2025. Working paper.

- [4] Singh, Charan, and Kishinchand Poornima Wasdani. *Finance for Micro, Small, and Medium-Sized Enterprises in India: Sources and Challenges*. No. 581 ed., Asian Development Bank Institute, July 2016, <https://www.adb.org/publications/finance-micro-smalland-medium-sized-enterprises-india-sources-and-challenges/>. Accessed 19 June 2025. Working Paper.