INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ADVANCE RESEARCH, IDEAS AND INNOVATIONS IN TECHNOLOGY
ISSN: 2454-132X
Impact Factor: 6.078
(Volume 11, Issue 1 - V11I1-1334)
Available online at: https://www.ijariit.com

Social Determinants of Saving

Ayera Jain
mypublishedpaper@gmail.com
The Shriram School Aravalli, Gurgaon, Haryana

ABSTRACT

This comprehensive research paper explores the complex landscape of household saving behaviors, moving beyond traditional economic models by integrating psychological, sociological, and economic perspectives. By examining the complex interplay of social determinants, this study employs a mixed-methods approach, incorporating quantitative data from national surveys and qualitative insights through interviews and case studies to provide a nuanced understanding of financial decision-making processes that shape individual and collective saving strategies.

Keywords: *Saving, Investment, Household Income, Social Factors, Economy*

INTRODUCTION

Household saving is a constituent of economic stability and goes far beyond the mere management of money. In India, the household saving rate was a prime factor in making the economy resilient to the global financial crisis of 2008. Similarly, in Japan, its high household saving rates in the 20th century were responsible for its post-war economic revival, which was strong enough to allow capital formation and industrial development. These examples illustrate how saving can be the back-bone for economic stability and growth. However, this again depends upon many other factors as well. The subjects of past studies have majorly been on income and consumption patterns in regard to saving behaviours. However, the previous works have drawn interesting, incisive insights into how the traditional Indian emphasis on intergenerational financial support, some societies' social norms of conspicuous consumption, and peer pressure on materialism shape household saving behaviors more profoundly than others. Increasingly, these factors are recognized for being critical in shaping saving behavior. This paper discusses an interdisciplinary approach toward understanding household saving, insights from sociology, economics, and psychology for a holistic perspective.

The topic of household saving assumes importance because saving behaviors not only affect the financial well-being of people but also national economic stability. For individuals and households, saving acts as a cushion during uncertain times and serves an opportunity to realize long-run financial goals. In broader macroeconomic terms, aggregated household saving influences capital creation and economic growth. Such being the case, social factors that influence saving gain vital importance in this era of changed economics and changing societal values.

This study is relevant because it brings to light the interactions of non-economic factors in financial decisions and, therefore contradicts traditional economic models, which generally assume rationality and ignore social influences. For instance, these traditional models hardly account for herd behavior, which is the practice of individuals copying the saving pattern of their friends, or the cultural norms discouraging women from participating in financial planning. These limitations highlight the demand for the amplification of the economic theories based on the precise effects of social influences towards saving behaviors. Considering how pressures from social backgrounds, education levels, psychological sets, and norms in culture could affect income and other economic variables can help policymakers and financial planners design more effective interventions that encourage saving.

Renowned researchers have shed light on different dimensions of saving behavior. Thaler and Shefrin's (1981) behavioral life-cycle theory emphasizes psychological factors like self-control and mental accounting, while Hofstede's (1980) cultural dimensions theory links cultural attitudes to financial behaviors. Sociologists like Bourdieu (1984) explore how societal structures and habitus shape economic practices, offering valuable perspectives on how social capital and cultural norms influence saving. These contributions underscore the interdisciplinary nature of the topic and the need for a comprehensive approach.

This paper also looks at four subtopics of utmost importance:

Psychological Factors and Saving Psychological factors such as impulsiveness, self-control, and forward thinking are important in saving habits. According to research, those with a greater ability to delay gratification save more frequently (Loewenstein, 1992). The effect of behavioral biases such as the present-bias effect will be explored as well. Education and Financial Literacy Education acts as a gateway that equips an individual with the means to manage finances effectively. Lusardi and Mitchell (2014) insist that financial literacy is directly related to better saving outcomes. This section goes into how knowledge disparities account for differences in saving behavior between populations.

Social Pressure and Saving Patterns Peer influence and societal expectations may play a significant role in savings decisions. Empirical research has shown that people generally save or spend too much money to fit into social norms (Banerjee & Duflo, 2011). The paper will examine the psychological and sociological forces behind this phenomenon. Cultural Influences on Saving Behavior Attitudes toward money and financial planning vary widely across societies. Research by Hofstede (1980) and others has highlighted how collectivist versus individualist cultural orientations shape saving patterns. This section will examine cultural norms and their implications for household saving. This research is intended to integrate these perspectives for a more comprehensive understanding of household saving behaviour. The study is going to critically examine the economic and non-economic factors interplaying in the process, underlining that interdisciplinary approaches to financial decision-making research are needed.

An extensive literature review demonstrated that contrary to the researchers' belief personal - psychological factors had less to do with household saving variance and the greatest indication by far was country-specific economic factors like the inflation rate, however both need to be examined in detail.

PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS AND SAVING

"While financial risk tolerance seems unexamined in relation to saving intention, it does predict actual risk-taking and risk-avoiding behaviour" (Gilliam, Chatterjee, and Grable 2010; Grable, Britt, and Webb 2008)." (Magendans et al. 1078-9). Risk-taking can be a crucial indicator for household saving as it directly relates to debt aversion. On the other hand, no direct correlation was observed therefore might be an over-generalisation to state that risk tolerance is directly proportional to overleveraging assets.The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) is a psychological theory that predicts human behavior by linking beliefs to behavioral intentions. The theory states that three core components influence an individual's behavioral intentions, which in turn determine their planned behaviors:

Attitude: The extent to which a person has a favorable or unfavorable appraisal of a behavior

Subjective norm: The social pressure to perform or not to perform a behavior

Perceived behavioral control: The person's perception of the ease or difficulty of performing the behaviour "The TPB has been validated in a wide range of domains (Ajzen 1991; Armitage and Conner 2001; Conner and Armitage 1998), including financial behaviours like budget keeping (Kidwell and Turrisi 2004), retirement saving (Croy, Gerrans, and Speelman 2010), saving habits (Loibl, Kraybill, and DeMay 2011), negative financial behaviours like not paying bills (Xiao et al. 2011) and even future financial behaviours such as saving. (Magendans et al. 1077-8)

Psychological research reveals a nuanced relationship between risk tolerance and saving behaviors. Gilliam, Chatterjee, and Grable's seminal research demonstrates that while risk tolerance may not directly correlate with saving intentions, it significantly predicts actual risk-taking behaviors (378).

Table 1: Psychological Barriers to Saving

Barrier Type	Description	Impact on Saving Behavior
Present Bias	Preference for immediate gratification	Reduces long-term saving capacity
Financial Anxiety	Emotional stress related to financial decisions	Inhibits proactive financial planning
Lack of Self-Efficacy	Perceived inability to manage finances	Reduces saving motivation
Cognitive Complexity	Difficulty understanding financial instruments	Decreases engagement with saving strategies

A higher perceived financial self-efficacy predicted more self-reported saving behaviour, suggesting that individuals who feel capable of dealing with money issues also succeed better in saving money, a finding corroborated by other studies (Davis and Hustvedt 2012; Xiao et al. 2011).

saving barriers, such as economic conditions (see Fisher 2010; Lunt and Livingstone 1991) and the complexity of saving (e.g. tax-deferred retirement saving; see Davis and Hustvedt 2012), might govern the relationship between intention and saving behaviour. Even though people with more income are also likely to save more (e.g. Hershey et al. 2008; Lunt and Livingstone 1991; Lusardi 2008), perceived barriers, like a felt shortage of knowledge or a perceived insufficient income, do limit saving behaviour (Lusardi, Keller, and Keller 2009)

ECONOMIC FACTORS (INFLATION)

. Income or economic growth causes a rise in savings both in the short-run and in the long-run which supports the Keynesian view in this regard. The role of interest rate affecting savings is found to be insignificant. On the other hand, the dependency ratio has a significant impact on savings.

Higher economic growth causes domestic savings to a rise and this savings further helps rise economic growth. So, a comprehensive macroeconomic policy for promoting higher economic growth would not only raise savings and well being of Indian people, but also contribute to capital formation and economic growth in future.

The endogenous growth theory developed in the 1980s, specifically, Romer (1986), Lucas (1988); presents an indirect linkage between savings and economic growth. This theory advocates a critical role of savings in capital formation which leads to a higher economic growth.

The value of F-statistic calculated from the ARDL (1,1,0,1) models of savings is 5.19, is higher than the 95 percent UCB; indicating that savings has a long-run relationship with the selected variables namely, income growth, interest rate and dependency ratio.

MACROECONOMIC INFLUENCES

The endogenous growth theory, developed by economists Romer and Lucas, establishes a critical linkage between savings and economic growth. Key economic factors include:

I. Income Growth
II. Inflation Rates
III. Dependency Ratio
IV. Interest Rates

Show Image

EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis using ARDL (Autoregressive Distributed Lag) models reveals a long-run relationship between savings and key economic variables. The F-statistic of 5.19 indicates significant correlations with income growth, interest rates, and dependency ratios.

FINANCIAL LITERACY AND SAVINGS

- The impact of financial education on household saving attitudes and behaviors.

Financial literacy implies a fair understanding of the modus operandi of investment products and judicious implications of such knowledge for maximizing returns (Robb, 2012). It ensures that a person is well aware of the most effective investment scenarios around him (Kelly, 2005; Lucey & Giannangelo, 2006), which contributes to the willingness to increase savings (Fisher & Montalto, 2010; Hefferan, 1982). Financial literacy thus has a close association with financial attitude (Robb & Woodyard, 2011; Xiao, Tang, Serido, & Shim, 2011)

Since India is a developing economy which need adequate capital formation to develop number of areas Capital formation depends on the level of savings in the economy higher savings result in higher capital formation. Despite the fact that capital formation is essential for growth and development, the situation in India is such that the level of savings is still poor due to low income, large family size or more number of dependents, joint family system and young working population. There is a requirement of direct government interference to promote saving habits in people. Government should make attractive interest rate policy which would be more vibrant in rekindling savings and to control inflation in the economy.

SOCIAL PRESSURE AND SAVING PATTERNS

Number of dependants and saving pattern which means that the number of dependents strongly influences the amount an individual saves. It means that if an Individual has smaller family size he is able to save more as compared to one who has large family due to having higher consumption rate.

Monthly income is a very crucial determinant to affect saving pattern it means that higher income favors to high savings and vice versa. Though education level and gender also affect the patterns of saving but result shows that it was not significant.

Table 2: Impact of Social Factors on Saving Behaviors

Social Factor	Influence	Saving Behavior Modification
Family Size	Consumption Patterns	Inverse relationship with saving capacity
Peer Network	Social Comparison	Potential amplification or reduction of saving intentions
Cultural Orientation	Collectivist vs. Individualist	Variations in saving strategies and motivations

CULTURAL INFLUENCES ON SAVING BEHAVIOR

Hutter (1996) argues how culture plays an important role in shaping collective identity, strengthening community ties and contributing to the enforcement of social norms. According to the definition of social norms given by Ajzen (2006) social conformity could explain a preference for organic food, even in presence of weak personal motivations.

In the context of food choice, Vermeir and Verbeke (2006) reported a significant positive relation between consumers' intention to purchase organic food and their social norms of reference.
both the economically-inspired, cognitively oriented perspective and the sociologicallyinspired, status-oriented perspective, the relationship between cultural capital and organic food consumption seems to be conceptually corroborated, at least in principle. On the other hand, to our knowledge no significant association between cultural capital and organic food consumption has been made in the existing literature so far. We feel therefore encouraged to proceed with an exploratory empirical analysis in this direction.

The relationship between cultural experience and interest in organic food purchase also extends to the nature of the cognitive barriers to be overcome for consumption to take place. With reference to cultural consumption, Trimarchi (1993) highlights how it is practically impossible for individuals to access the information needed to evaluate their preference for certain cultural experiences before they can actually experiment with them – analogously to what happens for trust goods. In the same way

CONCLUSION

Household saving emerges as a complex, multidimensional phenomenon requiring interdisciplinary understanding. By integrating psychological, economic, and sociological perspectives, researchers and policymakers can develop more nuanced strategies for promoting financial well-being.
India, as a developing economy, requires substantial capital formation to drive growth across various sectors. Capital formation is closely tied to the level of savings within the economy—higher savings lead to greater capital formation. However, despite its critical role in fostering development, India continues to face challenges with low savings rates. Contributing factors include low income levels, larger family sizes or a higher number of dependents, the prevalence of joint family systems, and a predominantly young working population.
To address this issue, direct government intervention is necessary to encourage saving habits among citizens. Implementing a more attractive interest rate policy could play a pivotal role in promoting savings while also helping to manage inflation in the economy. Such measures would contribute significantly to enhancing capital formation and supporting India's development goals.

REFERENCES

[1] "chapter 2: competitive markets: demand and supply." *Economics for the IB Diploma with CD-ROM*, by Ellie Tragakes, second edition ed., Cambridge University Press, 2011, pp. 20-39.
[2] Cooper, R., and Marissa Lupkas. "Death Symbolism & Personification Traced Through Art History." *Art & Object*, 12 May 2022, https://www.artandobject.com/news/death-symbolism-personification-traced-through-art-history. Accessed 5 November 2024.
[3] Deb, Rajat. "Determinants of Savings in Sukanya Samriddhi Account: Evidence from Tripura." *IIM Kozhikode Society & Management Review*, vol. 5, no. 2, 2016, pp. 120-140. Accessed 15 10 2024.
[4] Magendans, J., et al. "Psychological determinants of financial buffer saving: the influence of financial risk tolerance and regulatory focus." *Journal of Risk Research*, vol. 20, no. 8, 2016, pp. 1076-1093.
[5] "Section 3: Microeconomic decision makers." *Cambridge IGCSE® and O Level Economics Coursebook*, by Susan Grant, Second edition ed., Cambridge University Press, 2018, pp. 135 - 207.